Appl. No. 10/718,413.
Amdt. dated March 10, 2005
Reply to Advisory action of March 7, 2005.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the advisory action on March 7, 2005, Claims

1-33 and two new claims, as filed in amendment after final

office action on February 01, 2005 have been modified to

overcome all informality objections, all 35 U.S.C. 112

second paragraph rejections, and all 35 U.S.C. 103a

rejections as outlined in reference numbers (1-7) and (9-

10) of examiner's final office action dated February 1,

2005 as Pro-Se applicant has:

(1-2). Corrected claim 23 objection, and cancelled claims

32 and 33.

(3). Referencing this limitation, Pro Se applicant would

like to bring to the examiners attention that claim 4 filed

in the previous Amendment after final office action

introduces "a self adjusting unactuated alarm ceasing

position in line 35. This claim is duplicated into this

response after advisory action and labeled as original as

nothing had to be changed.

(4). Referencing this limitation, Pro Se applicant would

again like to point out to the examiner that "a spherical

open ended socket" is introduced in claim 1 element G in

26

Appl. No. 10/718,413.
Amdt. dated March 10, 2005
Reply to Advisory action of March 7, 2005.

which claim 8 is dependent.

(5). Number 5 Has been corrected by virtue of number 7.

(7). Claims 32 and 33 and each of their dependent claims
have been cancelled.

(9). As claims 4 and 8 have been amended, Pro Se applicant
finds no discrepancies with claims 20 and 21. Notice of
Abandonment for application No. 10/310,339 was received on
October 13, 2004.

Pro Se applicant believes that he has properly
amended claims 1-24, 26, 28, and 30, and that these claims
are in condition for allowance. Pro Se applicant asks the
examiner to allow the amendments of the claims after final
office action.

Respectfully Submitted,

By _____
Michael James Cameron.

First named Inventor.
Tel.: (727) 819-8087.

27